Bills Aimed at NAACP Stir Va. Assembly Fight By a Staff Reporter The Washington Post and Times Herald (1954-1959); Sep 11, 1956; ProQuest Historical Newspapers: The Washington Post pg. 28

Bills Aimed at NAACP Stir Va. Assembly Fight

By a Staff Reporter

RICHMOND, Sept. 10—An official for the National Asso-ciation for the Advancement of Colored People said tonight his organization will contest the legality of a batch of bills organization will contest the legality of a batch of bills aimed at it in the Virginia Gen-

aimed at it in the Virginia Gen-eral Assembly. Oliver W. Hill, counsel for the NAACP in Virginia, said at a public hearing: "You can pass all the legislation you want to and all you are doing is compounding ways Virgin-ians can be enmeshed in the law." Two Fairfax County citizens also testified against the meas-ures which are endorsed by Gov. Thomas B. Stanley. C. Ed-ward Behre, of the Religious Society of Friends, called the legislation "dangerous and has-tily conceived." conceived

tily conceived." Asked David H. Scull, Annan-dale business man: "If an indi-vidual is so ignorant, poor or alone, is it right that it be-comes unlawful for someone to step in and say, 'I am with step in and say, you.'?"

Delegate Harrison Mann of Arlington, who introduced the legislation in the house, told the Assembly's Courts of Jus-tice Committees that the pro-posed legislation "deprives no citizen of rights, privileges, or freedoms he does not now

have." The hearing was a quiet one. There have been indications that some assembly members, There have been indications that some assembly members, who do not have the NAACP close to their hearts, neverthe-less believe the measures are close to their hearts, neverthe-less believe the measures are too far-reaching in their effect. Mann and State Sen. Charles R. Fenwick of Arlington feel the bills will tend to stifle "stirred-up" litigation on the school decorrection issue and

ine offis will tend to stiffe "stirred-up" litigation on the school desegregation issue, and thus pave the way for the pos-sible solution to the problem. These proposals are House bills numbered 50 through 65 —but it is House Bill 60 which is the center of most discus-sion.

sion. This would require the lowing persons or groups register their financial sta to stati and membership annually with the State Corporation Commission:

engaging • Those "in promoting or opposing in any manner the passage of legislation

by the General Assembly on be-half of any race or color." • Those "influencing or at-tempting to influence public opinion in behalf of any race or color." • Those "raising or expend

or color." • Those "raising or expend-ing funds for the employment of council or payment of costs in connection with litigation in behalf of any race or color." Persons violating provisions of the bill would be guilty of misdemeanor and guilty cor porations could be fined up to \$10,000.

A person expressing his own opinion on his own behalf would be exempted, as would the press, radio and television media.

Criticism of House Bill. 60 Criticism of House Bill of comes from some legislators who feel the measure has no place in Virginia with its fun-damental tradition and law pro-tecting free speech. They also point out that such groups as parent-te a c h e r organizations might come under such a law

parent t e a c h e r organizations might come under such a law. Mann has defended the bill on the grounds it would tend to keep "harmonious relations between the races." Denying it was any sort of "thought control," Mann said that neither P-TAs nor min-isters would be affected, un-less "they become part of an isters would be affected, un-less "they become part of an organized effort to influence public opinion on this issue"

organized effort to influence public opinion on this issue." House Bill 50 prohibits any-one from soliciting funds to engage in litigation without first filing a list of members and source of contributions with the State Corporation Commission Guilty individwith the State Corporation Commission. Guilty individ-uals would be fined \$100 to \$1000, and jailed for 10 days to a year; guilty corporations would be fined up to \$10,000. The other bills in the pack-age—House Bills 61, 63 and 64 —deal with definitions of "stir-ring up and litigation and un-ethical procedures among at-torneys."

etnical procedures torneys."

aimed at Pill 65, torneys." The seventh bill aimed at the NAACP is House Bill 65, sponsored by Delegate James M. Thompson of Alexandria and copied after a Georgia res-olution olution.

It would set up a legislative mmittee of four delegates committee of four delegates and three senators which would committee have subpoend powers to call witnesses. Thomson will amend the bill to have the committee composed of 10 legislators, one from each congressional district.

trict. One function would be to in-vestigate all groups seeking "to influence public opinion or en-courage or promote litigation in this state, or would seek to influence the operation of the Virginia public school system or the placing of pupils or teachers. teachers.

teachers. Although aimed primarily at the NAACP, it would also af-fect, as would the Mann legis-lation, the Defenders of State Sovereignty and Individual Liberties, White Citizens coun-cils and other groups.